

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff

v.

CRIMINAL 07-0446 (ADC)

ÁNGEL MANUEL SANTANA-ANDUJAR,

Defendant

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(A) AND (B) PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY)

I. Personal Background

On October 24, 2007, Ángel Manuel Santana-Andujar, the defendant herein, was charged in counts three and four of a four-count indictment. Defendant agrees to plea guilty to count three of the indictment.

Count three charges defendant with being an alien previously removed or deported from the United States, did knowingly attempted to enter the United States, without obtaining, prior to his re-embarkation at a place outside thereof, the express consent of the Attorney General of the United States to such alien's reapplying for admission. Defendant's removal from the United States was subsequent to criminal conviction for an aggravated felony. All in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a)(2) and (b)(2).

The defendant filed a motion for change of plea on March 11, 2008.

II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge

Today, while assisted by Mariángela Tirado-Vales, Esq., the defendant, by consent, appeared before me in order to change his previous not guilty plea to a plea of guilty as to count three of the indictment.

CRIMINAL 07-0446 (ADC)

2

In open court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing being held. The defendant responded that the purpose of the hearing was to plead guilty. The defendant was advised of his right to have all proceedings, including the change of plea hearing, before a United States district judge. Defendant was given notice of: (a) the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries were to be conducted under oath and that it was expected that his answers would be truthful (he was also explained that the consequences of lying under oath could lead to a perjury charge); and (c) his right to have the change of plea proceedings presided over by a district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was also explained the differences between the appointment and functions of the two. The defendant consent to proceed before this magistrate judge.

### III. Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

#### A. Compliance With Requirements Rule 11(c)(1)

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be knowing and voluntary: "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). [There are three core concerns in these proceedings]: 1) absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge of the consequences of the guilty plea. United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing United States v. Allard, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1991)).

United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1999).

In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he understood that if convicted on count one, he may be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of not more than 20 years, a fine not to exceed of \$250,000, and a term of supervised release of not more than three years.

1 CRIMINAL 07-0446 (ADC)

3

2  
3 Prior to or at the time of sentencing, the defendant shall pay a special  
4 assessment of \$100, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a).

5 The defendant is aware that the court may order him to pay a fine sufficient  
6 to reimburse the government for the costs of any imprisonment, probation or  
7 supervised release.

8 Defendant was advised that the ultimate sentence was a matter solely for the  
9 court to decide in its discretion and that, even if the maximum imprisonment term  
10 and fine were to be imposed upon him, he later could not withdraw his guilty plea  
11 if he was unhappy with the sentence of the court. The defendant understood this.

12 Defendant was explained what the supervised release term means. It was  
13 emphasized that cooperation with the United States Probation officer would assist  
14 the court in reaching a fair sentence.

15 Emphasis was made on the fact that at this stage, no prediction or promises  
16 as to the sentence to be imposed could be made by anyone. Defendant responded to  
17 questions in that no promises, threats, inducements or predictions as to what  
18 sentence will be imposed have been made to him.

19 Defendant is an alien to the United States. Defendant acknowledges that  
20 pleading guilty and entering into this plea may have a negative effect upon  
21 defendant's immigration status with the United States.

22 B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights

23 To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant  
24 was advised of his right:

25 1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the  
26 government who has the burden of proving his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.  
27  
28

1 CRIMINAL 07-0446 (ADC)

4

2  
3 2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no comment could be made by  
4 the prosecution in relation to his decision not to testify.

5 3. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be  
6 entitled to see and cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his  
7 behalf, and challenge the government's evidence.

8 4. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which  
9 would have to be convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means  
10 of competent evidence.

11 5. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of  
12 witnesses.

13 Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his  
14 speaking with his attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully  
15 understood his rights, it is determined that defendant is aware of his constitutional  
16 rights.

17 C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty

18 Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised  
19 of the consequences of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by  
20 pleading guilty and upon having his guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be  
21 giving up the above rights and would be convicted solely on his statement that he is  
22 guilty.

23 Furthermore, the defendant was admonished of the fact that by pleading guilty  
24 he would not be allowed later on to withdraw his plea because he eventually might  
25 disagree with the sentence imposed, and that when he were under supervised  
26 release, and upon violating the conditions of such release, that privilege could be  
27

28

1 CRIMINAL 07-0446 (ADC)

5

2  
3 revoked and he could be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment. He  
4 was also explained that parole has been abolished.

5 D. Plea Agreement

6 The parties have entered into a written plea agreement that, upon being signed  
7 by the government, defense attorney and defendant, was filed and made part of the  
8 record. Defendant was clearly warned and recognized having understood that:

9 1. The plea agreement is not binding upon the sentencing court.

10 2. The plea agreement is an "agreement" between the defendant, defense  
11 attorney and the attorney for the government which is presented as a  
12 recommendation to the court in regards to the applicable sentencing adjustments  
13 and guidelines, which are advisory.

14 3. The agreement provides a sentencing recommendation and/or anticipated  
15 sentencing guideline computation, that can be either accepted or rejected by the  
16 sentencing court.

17 4. In spite of the plea agreement and any sentencing recommendation  
18 contained therein, the sentencing court retains full discretion to reject such plea  
19 agreement and impose any sentence up to the possible maximum penalty prescribed  
20 by statute.

21 5. The defendant understands that if the court accepts this agreement and  
22 sentences defendant according to its terms and conditions, defendant waives and  
23 surrenders his right to appeal the conviction and sentence in this case.

24 Defendant acknowledged having understood this explanation.

25 E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)

26 The government presented a proffer of its evidence with which the defendant  
27 basically concurred.  
28

CRIMINAL 07-0446 (ADC)

6

Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact and evidence to establish all elements of the offense charged.

#### F. Voluntariness

The defendant accepted that no leniency had been promised, no threats had been made to induce him to plead guilty and that he did not feel pressured to plead guilty. He came to the hearing for the purpose of pleading guilty and listened attentively as the prosecutor outlined the facts which it would prove if the case had proceeded to trial.

#### IV. Conclusion

The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to count three of the indictment.

After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court, concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the preceding sections, I find that the defendant Ángel Manuel Santana-Andujar is competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and the maximum statutory penalties that the same carries, understands that the charge is supported by the government's evidence, has admitted to every element of the offense charged, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea.

Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea of the defendant and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to count three of the indictment. Upon sentencing, the government will move for the dismissal of the remaining count against the defendant.

1 CRIMINAL 07-0446 (ADC)

7

2  
3 This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B)  
4 and Rule 72(d) of the Local Rules of Court. Any objections to the same must be  
5 specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within five (5) days of its receipt.  
6 Rule 510.1, Local Rules of Court; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific  
7 objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the  
8 district court. United States v. Valencia-Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1986).

9 At San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 8<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2008.

10  
11 S/ JUSTO ARENAS  
12 Chief United States Magistrate Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28